

United States Patent and Trademark Office

·B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,425		03/31/2004	Bruce D. Hammock	02307O-142500US	02307O-142500US 8475	
20350	7590	09/06/2005		. EXAMINER		
		TOWNSEND AN	VIVLEMORE, TRACY ANN			
EIGHTH F		ERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRA	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			1635		
				DATE MAILED: 09/06/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/815,425	HAMMOCK ET AL.	HAMMOCK ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Tracy Vivlemore	1635						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
*	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	İ					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[🔀	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction are	na/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	48) Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date se of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 r:)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a use of a *cis*-epoxyeicosantrienoic acid for the manufacture of a medicament to inhibit progression of an obstructive pulmonary disease, an interstitial lung disease or asthma, classifiable in class 514, subclass 558. <u>Election of this invention requires a species</u> election as set forth below.
- II. Claims 9-24, drawn to a use of an inhibitor of soluble epoxide hydrolase for the manufacture of a medicament to inhibit progression of an obstructive pulmonary disease, an interstitial lung disease or asthma, classifiable in class 536, subclass 24.5. Election of this invention requires a species election as set forth below.
- III. Claims 25-40, drawn to a method of inhibiting progression of an obstructive pulmonary disease, an interstitial lung disease or asthma with an inhibitor of soluble epoxide hydrolase and a *cis*-epoxyeicosantrienoic acid, classifiable in class 514, subclass 44. <u>Election of this invention</u> requires a species election as set forth below.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Application/Control Number: 10/815,425

Art Unit: 1635

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation. Invention I uses a *cis*-epoxyeicosantrienoic acid to manufacture a medicament while invention II uses an inhibitor of soluble epoxide hydrolase to manufacture a medicament.

Page 3

- 2. Furthermore, examining invention I together with invention II would impose a serious search burden. In the instant case, prior art searches of use of a cisepoxyeicosantrienoic acid to manufacture a medicament are not coextensive with prior art searches of use of an inhibitor of soluble epoxide hydrolase to manufacture a medicament. Search of each of these inventions would require different key word searches of each compound using divergent patent and non-patent literature databases. The different searches would then require subsequent in-depth analysis of the unrelated prior art literature, placing a serious burden on the Office in terms of both search and examination. As such, it would be burdensome to perform examination of inventions I and II together.
- 3. Inventions I and II are unrelated to invention III. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The function of inventions I and II is to use a *cis*-epoxyeicosantrienoic acid or an inhibitor of soluble epoxide hydrolase to manufacture a medicament to inhibit progression of a condition while the function of invention III is to inhibit progression of a condition.
- 4. Furthermore, examining any of inventions I and II together with invention III would impose a serious search burden. In the instant case, prior art searches of use of

Page 4

Art Unit: 1635

a cis-epoxyeicosantrienoic acid or an inhibitor of soluble epoxide hydrolase to manufacture a medicament are not coextensive with prior art searches of methods of inhibiting progression of a condition with an inhibitor of soluble epoxide hydrolase. Search of each of these inventions would require different key word searches of each compound and each distinct method step using divergent patent and non-patent literature databases. The different searches would then require subsequent in-depth analysis of the unrelated prior art literature, placing a serious burden on the Office in terms of both search and examination. As such, it would be burdensome to perform examination of any of inventions I and II together with invention III.

Species Election

Claims 1, 9, 19, 25 and 35 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising obstructive pulmonary disease, interstitial lung disease and asthma. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,425

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Vivlemore whose telephone number is 571-272-2914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:45-5:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811.

On July 15, 2005, the Central FAX Number was changed to 571-273-8300.

Faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your

Application/Control Number: 10/815,425

Art Unit: 1635

application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image

problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent

Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within

5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has

been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service

center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system

provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It

also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file

folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-

786-9199.

Tracy Vivlemore Examiner Art Unit 1635

TV August 30, 2005

J.D. SCHULTZ, Ph.D. PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6